Aksilita Journal
Vol. 1 No. 1, Mei (2025), pp. 1-7

ISSN xxxx-xxxx (online)
Published by Yayasan Cinta Negara Indonesia

Application of Information Technology Research Results in Digital Literacy Training for Rural Communities

Salman Kaldani[1], Rahayu[2]
[1,2] Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Social sciences and humanities, Universitas Islam Riau, Riau, Indonesia

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:

Received: 15 April, 2025
Revised: 25 April, 2025
Accepted: 15 Mei, 2025

Keywords:

Accessibility;
Community Engagement;
Community-Based Education;
Densely Populated Areas;
Stigma Reduction.

ABSTRACT

Community-based mental health education has emerged as a critical approach to addressing the growing mental health needs in densely populated residential areas. High population density often correlates with increased stress, social tensions, and limited access to formal mental health services, making community engagement essential for promoting psychological well-being. This study explores the implementation and impact of mental health education programs that leverage community resources, peer support, and culturally sensitive educational materials. Using a mixed-methods design, data were collected through surveys, focus group discussions, and interviews with residents and program facilitators across several densely populated urban neighborhoods. The findings indicate that community-based education significantly improves mental health literacy, reduces stigma, and fosters supportive environments that encourage help-seeking behaviors. Additionally, involving local leaders and stakeholders enhances program acceptance and sustainability. The study concludes that tailored community-driven mental health education serves as an effective intervention to bridge the gap in mental health care accessibility and promotes holistic well-being in densely populated settings.

Corresponding Author:

Salman kaldani,
Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Social sciences and humanities,
Universitas Islam Riau, Riau, Indonesia,
Jl. Kaharuddin Nst No.113, Simpang Tiga, Riau, 28284, Indonesia.
Email: bayakaldani@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of mental health issues has become an increasingly pressing concern globally, particularly in urban settings characterized by high population density. Densely populated residential areas often present unique environmental, social, and economic challenges that can negatively affect the mental well-being of their residents. Factors such as overcrowding, limited personal space, noise pollution, unemployment, income inequality, lack of recreational areas, social isolation, and restricted access to health services converge to create an environment where mental health disorders can thrive. In many cases, these densely populated environments are located in urban slums, informal settlements, or low-income housing complexes where public health infrastructure is often inadequate or overwhelmed.

Mental health, as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO), is a state of well-being in which individuals recognize their abilities, can cope with normal stresses of life, work productively, and contribute to their communities. However, in densely populated settings, the realization of this state is often compromised. Depression, anxiety, stress-related disorders, substance abuse, and even suicidal tendencies are more common in such contexts. The stigma attached to mental illness, combined with limited access to professional healthcare providers, exacerbates the situation, leading to underreporting and untreated mental health conditions. Addressing mental health in densely populated areas requires an approach that is both accessible and culturally sensitive. Traditional medical or institutionalized

models of mental health care often fail to reach marginalized populations due to financial barriers, lack of trust, or cultural misunderstandings.

Consequently, there has been a growing recognition of the need for community-based mental health education (CBMHE) as a viable and effective strategy to promote mental health awareness, prevention, and early intervention within these settings. The Concept of Community-Based Mental Health Educatio Community-based mental health education refers to the delivery of mental health knowledge, skills, and resources through community-driven platforms that are accessible and acceptable to the target population. Rather than relying solely on clinical services, CBMHE involves empowering community members with the information and skills necessary to understand mental health, recognize early warning signs, and support one another in managing stress, anxiety, depression, and other psychological challenges.

Community-based approaches are rooted in the principles of participatory education, peer support, cultural competence, and empowerment. They recognize the importance of social networks, family ties, and local leadership in influencing health behaviors. Education programs are often tailored to the specific needs, language, cultural beliefs, and social dynamics of the community, thus ensuring greater relevance and acceptance. Such programs may include workshops, group discussions, peer counseling, public awareness campaigns, community theater, and the distribution of educational materials. Trained community health workers, local leaders, religious figures, teachers, and volunteers often serve as facilitators and trusted intermediaries, bridging the gap between formal mental health services and the general population.

The rationale for promoting CBMHE in densely populated residential areas is multifaceted; Accessibility: Professional mental health services are often scarce in overpopulated urban areas, particularly for low-income residents. Community-based education brings mental health resources directly to where people live. Cost-effectiveness: Community education initiatives are generally less expensive than expanding clinical services and can reach a larger segment of the population with limited resources. Cultural Sensitivity: Community members are more likely to trust and engage with educational programs that are delivered by familiar faces within their cultural and social contexts. Stigma Reduction: CBMHE helps normalize discussions around mental health, reducing stigma and encouraging early help-seeking behaviors. Prevention and Early Intervention: Educating communities about mental health symptoms, risk factors, and coping strategies fosters early recognition and intervention, preventing escalation to severe mental illness. Community Empowerment: By involving community members in the education process, CBMHE fosters a sense of ownership, responsibility, and collective action towards improving mental well-being.

While the potential benefits of CBMHE are significant, implementing such programs in densely populated residential areas comes with its own set of challenges; Overwhelming Demand: The sheer number of residents often surpasses the capacity of available community workers or resources. Resource Constraints: Funding for educational materials, training of facilitators, and program sustainability is often limited. Cultural Barriers: Misconceptions, myths, and traditional beliefs about mental health may hinder acceptance of educational messages. Stigma and Discrimination: Despite ongoing efforts, mental health stigma remains deeply entrenched in many societies, making open discussions about mental illness difficult. Diverse Populations: Densely populated areas often comprise ethnically and linguistically diverse populations, necessitating the development of multiple culturally appropriate educational materials. Safety and Security: In some high-density areas, particularly informal settlements, issues of safety and crime may affect the feasibility of conducting community gatherings or educational activities.

Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of community-based interventions in improving mental health literacy and reducing stigma. Programs that leverage peer support, community leaders, and participatory learning models have been shown to improve knowledge, change attitudes, and increase help-seeking behaviors. For example, interventions such as Mental Health First Aid (MHFA) training, psychoeducation workshops, and peer-led support groups have been successfully adapted for use in urban communities worldwide. However, there remain significant gaps in the literature, particularly regarding the long-term sustainability and scalability of CBMHE programs in highly populated urban settings. More research is needed to understand;The most effective educational methods for diverse urban communities. Strategies for engaging marginalized subpopulations such as

migrants, homeless individuals, and ethnic minorities. The role of technology (e.g., mobile health, social media) in delivering mental health education in crowded environments. Mechanisms for evaluating the impact of CBMHE on mental health outcomes at the community level. The integration of CBMHE with formal healthcare systems and public policy.

In recent years, advancements in information and communication technology (ICT) have opened new opportunities for delivering mental health education in densely populated residential areas. Mobile phones, social media platforms, messaging apps, and online forums offer scalable and cost-effective channels for disseminating mental health information. Digital platforms can overcome physical barriers, providing discreet and easily accessible resources for individuals who may be reluctant to participate in face-to-face sessions due to stigma or scheduling conflicts. However, the digital divide must be carefully considered. Not all residents in densely populated areas have equal access to smartphones, internet connectivity, or the digital literacy required to engage with online content. Therefore, technology should be integrated as a complementary tool alongside traditional, face-to-face community engagement efforts.

Globally, mental health has gained increasing recognition as a priority area for public health policy. The WHO's Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2030 emphasizes community-based care as a cornerstone of effective mental health service delivery. Similarly, many national governments have begun to incorporate community mental health initiatives into their public health strategies, recognizing the limitations of institutional care models. However, policy implementation often lags behind policy formulation, particularly in resource-constrained urban settings. Bureaucratic hurdles, fragmented health systems, and competing public health priorities (e.g., infectious disease outbreaks, maternal and child health) frequently divert attention and resources away from mental health education initiatives. Sustained political will, cross-sector collaboration, and community advocacy are essential to ensure that CBMHE receives the attention and support it requires.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a mixed-methods approach to comprehensively explore the implementation and effectiveness of community-based mental health education (CBMHE) in densely populated residential areas. The combination of quantitative and qualitative methods allowed for a deeper understanding of both the measurable outcomes and the lived experiences of participants. The quantitative component utilized a quasi-experimental design with pre-test and post-test assessments to measure changes in mental health literacy, stigma reduction, and help-seeking behaviors among participants. Meanwhile, the qualitative component involved focus group discussions (FGDs) and in-depth interviews to capture community perceptions, program challenges, and contextual factors influencing program effectiveness. The study was conducted in several densely populated neighborhoods within an urban metropolitan area. Participants included adult residents aged 18–60 who voluntarily joined the CBMHE program. A purposive sampling technique was used to select 150 participants for the quantitative survey and 30 participants for qualitative interviews and FGDs, ensuring diversity in age, gender, educational background, and socioeconomic status. Quantitative data were gathered using standardized questionnaires measuring mental health literacy, stigma, and self-reported behavior changes. Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interview guides and FGDs facilitated by trained moderators. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, paired t-tests, and regression analysis to evaluate program impact. Qualitative data were analyzed through thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, insights, and contextual factors influencing participants' experiences. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout the study, and ethical approval was granted by the institutional review board.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Participant Demographics

The study involved 150 participants from densely populated residential areas, with a demographic breakdown as follows: 60% female and 40% male, aged between 18 and 60 years. The majority (72%) had a secondary or lower education level, and 68% reported monthly incomes below the national minimum wage. This demographic data reflects the socioeconomic challenges common in such residential environments, further emphasizing the vulnerability of these populations to mental health issues.

Pre-Test and Post-Test Comparisons

Prior to the intervention, participants generally exhibited low levels of mental health literacy. The average pre-test score on the mental health knowledge scale was 42.5% (SD = 8.7). After participating in the CBMHE program, significant improvements were observed. The average post-test score increased to 76.2% (SD = 6.3), indicating enhanced understanding of mental health concepts, including recognizing symptoms, understanding causes, and knowing available community resources. A paired t-test revealed a statistically significant difference between pre-test and post-test scores ($t = 15.87$, $p < 0.001$). Participants also demonstrated a marked reduction in stigmatizing attitudes toward individuals with mental health conditions. Using a modified Mental Health Stigma Scale (MHSS), pre-test stigma levels averaged 68.9 (higher scores indicate higher stigma), while post-test stigma levels reduced to 45.3. This reduction was statistically significant ($t = 12.34$, $p < 0.001$). Participants reported greater empathy, understanding, and willingness to support affected individuals.

Help-Seeking Behavior and Program Acceptability

Prior to the intervention, only 23% of participants reported they would seek help from mental health professionals if needed. After the program, 67% indicated willingness to seek professional support. Additionally, 74% stated they would consider participating in peer-support groups, reflecting increased openness to community-based resources. An overwhelming majority (92%) expressed satisfaction with the CBMHE program, citing culturally relevant content, trusted facilitators, and accessible delivery methods as key factors for its success.

Discussion

The findings clearly indicate that community-based mental health education is highly effective in enhancing mental health literacy and reducing stigma in densely populated residential areas. The use of trusted community figures and culturally appropriate content allowed the program to overcome traditional barriers such as mistrust, misinformation, and cultural taboos. The increase in participants' willingness to seek help suggests that CBMHE can serve as a critical early intervention mechanism. This aligns with global literature emphasizing the importance of early identification and community-level responses in mental health promotion (Barry et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2018).

Stigma reduction was one of the most notable achievements. The program's success mirrors findings from studies by Corrigan et al. (2012), which suggest that direct education combined with personal contact with individuals who have lived experience can be particularly effective in changing attitudes. The involvement of community leaders and respected figures (such as religious leaders) played a crucial role, as they often serve as moral and social authorities in these settings. When they endorse mental health education, it helps normalize these conversations and reduce misconceptions.

As emphasized by participants, the socioeconomic challenges common in densely populated areas (such as unemployment, poverty, and overcrowding) often exacerbate mental health problems. Therefore, while CBMHE improves knowledge and attitudes, its impact may be limited unless broader social determinants of mental health are addressed concurrently. This finding supports Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory (1979), which posits that an individual's health is influenced by multiple layers of their environment. Programs must therefore work in parallel with broader poverty alleviation and social welfare efforts to be fully effective.

The study found that while CBMHE can operate effectively at the grassroots level, structural support from health systems and policy makers is necessary for long-term success. This includes; Expanding access to affordable professional mental health services. Providing financial support for training community facilitators. Developing formal referral systems linking community education to clinical services. Institutionalizing mental health education within school curriculums and public health campaigns. Such multi-sectoral collaboration aligns with the WHO's recommendation for community-based mental health care integration into national health systems (WHO, 2013).

While this study focused on in-person education, many participants expressed interest in utilizing mobile phones and social media for ongoing education. The use of WhatsApp groups, short video clips, and SMS-based reminders were particularly appealing. Caution must be taken to ensure digital solutions are inclusive, considering that many residents may lack access to smartphones or digital literacy skills. Blended approaches that combine traditional face-to-face education with simple digital tools may be most effective, as suggested by Arjadi et al. (2015). Although the results are promising, this study has limitation; The sample size, while sufficient for preliminary analysis, was relatively small. The study was conducted in only a few neighborhoods, limiting generalizability. The follow-up period was short; longer-term evaluations are needed to assess sustained behavior change. Self-report data may be subject

to social desirability bias. Future research should consider longitudinal designs, larger sample sizes, and comparative studies across different cultural and socioeconomic contexts.

A recurring theme in both quantitative and qualitative data was concern over program continuity. Community-based mental health education will only be sustainable if there is a sense of ownership among local residents and ongoing institutional support. Forming partnerships with local NGOs, faith-based organizations, and government agencies can help maintain momentum. Similar findings have been reported by Petersen et al. (2011), who argue that empowering communities to lead programs rather than relying solely on external interventions is crucial for long-term sustainability. Women comprised a larger proportion of participants, partly because they were more available during daytime sessions. Future programs should consider targeted strategies for increasing male participation, possibly through workplace programs, evening sessions, or the involvement of male role models and champions.

Table 1. Summary of Key Findings

Key Indicator	Pre-Intervention	Post-Intervention	Change
Mental Health Literacy	42.5%	76.2%	+33.7%
Stigma Score (MHSS)	68.9	45.3	-23.6
Willingness to Seek Help	23%	67%	+44%
Willingness to Join Peer Support	N/A	74%	-
Participant Satisfaction	N/A	92%	-

Based on the research findings, several policy and practice implications emerge; Integrate CBMHE into existing public health programs. Community health centers, schools, and religious institutions can serve as platforms for mental health education. Train community health workers and peer educators. Building a network of trained local facilitators will ensure sustainability and cultural relevance. Address socioeconomic determinants alongside education. Coordinated policies targeting poverty, employment, housing, and access to services are essential to address the root causes of poor mental health. Leverage technology carefully. Digital platforms can expand reach but must be adapted for accessibility. Foster multi-sectoral collaboration. Partnerships between government, NGOs, academia, and the private sector are essential for comprehensive and sustained impact.

The findings of this study demonstrate that community-based mental health education can be an effective, culturally appropriate, and scalable solution for promoting mental health awareness and reducing stigma in densely populated residential areas. While challenges remain, particularly in ensuring sustainability and addressing structural inequalities, empowering communities with knowledge and support systems is a critical first step toward narrowing the mental health gap in urban environments.

4. CONCLUSION

The research findings clearly demonstrate that community-based mental health education (CBMHE) serves as an effective strategy for improving mental health literacy, reducing stigma, and promoting positive help-seeking behaviors among residents of densely populated residential areas. By leveraging community structures, trusted local leaders, culturally sensitive materials, and participatory learning methods, CBMHE successfully addresses key barriers that often prevent individuals from accessing formal mental health services. The significant increase in knowledge levels, coupled with the noticeable reduction in stigma and greater openness to peer support, highlights the program's potential as a viable mental health promotion tool in resource-constrained urban environments. The study also reveals that while education significantly improves awareness and attitudes, it cannot function in isolation. Socioeconomic challenges—such as poverty, unemployment, overcrowded living conditions, and limited access to professional mental health services—continue to exert considerable pressure on the mental well-being of residents. The successful implementation of CBMHE requires not only community engagement but also structural support from public health systems, policy makers, and other stakeholders. Furthermore, the involvement of community leaders and religious figures proves crucial in building trust and acceptance, especially in environments where cultural misconceptions about mental illness remain strong. The program's sustainability depends largely on the degree of community ownership, ongoing training of facilitators, and integration with broader health and social welfare programs.

Based on the study findings, several key suggestions are offered:

Institutionalize CBMHE Programs: Governments and local health authorities should formally integrate mental health education into existing community health programs and public health policies. Capacity Building: Continuous training should be provided to community health workers, peer educators, and local leaders to ensure program sustainability and effectiveness. Address Socioeconomic Determinants: Mental health education must be supported by parallel efforts to improve living conditions, employment opportunities, and access to affordable healthcare services. Promote Multi-Sector Collaboration: Effective partnerships between government, NGOs, religious institutions, academic researchers, and community organizations are essential to deliver comprehensive mental health interventions. Utilize Technology Judiciously: Digital tools such as mobile applications, SMS campaigns, and online support groups can complement face-to-face education but must be adapted to the digital literacy levels of the target population. By adopting these recommendations, CBMHE can evolve into a sustainable, scalable model for improving mental health outcomes in densely populated residential areas.

REFERENCES

Arjadi, R., Nauta, M. H., Chowdhary, N., et al. (2015). Internet-based behavioral activation for depression in Indonesia: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Affective Disorders, 185, 210–217.

Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Jenkins, R., & Patel, V. (2013). A systematic review of the effectiveness of mental health promotion interventions for young people in low and middle-income countries. BMC Public Health, 13, 835.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The Ecology of Human Development: Experiments by Nature and Design. Harvard University Press.

Corrigan, P. W., Morris, S. B., Michaels, P. J., Rafacz, J. D., & Rüsch, N. (2012). Challenging the public stigma of mental illness: A meta-analysis of outcome studies. Psychiatric Services, 63(10), 963–973.

Eaton, J., McCay, L., Semrau, M., et al. (2011). Scale up of services for mental health in low-income and middle-income countries. The Lancet, 378(9802), 1592–1603.

Gopalkrishnan, N. (2018). Cultural diversity and mental health: Considerations for policy and practice. Frontiers in Public Health, 6, 179.

Gronholm, P. C., Thornicroft, G., Laurens, K. R., & Evans-Lacko, S. (2017). Mental health-related stigma and pathways to care for people at risk of psychotic disorders or experiencing first-episode psychosis: A systematic review. Psychological Medicine, 47(11), 1867–1879.

Gururaj, G., Varghese, M., Benegal, V., et al. (2016). National Mental Health Survey of India, 2015-16: Prevalence, patterns and outcomes. NIMHANS Publication.

Hanlon, C., Luitel, N. P., Kathree, T., et al. (2014). Challenges and opportunities for implementing integrated mental health care: A district level situation analysis from five low- and middle-income countries. PLOS ONE, 9(2), e88437.

Henderson, C., & Thornicroft, G. (2013). Evaluation of the Time to Change programme in England 2008–2011. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 202(s55), s45–s48.

Jorm, A. F. (2012). Mental health literacy: Empowering the community to take action for better mental health. American Psychologist, 67(3), 231–243.

Kirmayer, L. J., & Pedersen, D. (2014). Toward a new architecture for global mental health. Transcultural Psychiatry, 51(6), 759–776.

Kohrt, B. A., Mendenhall, E., Brown, P. J., et al. (2015). Global mental health: Anthropological perspectives. Routledge.

Lund, C., Tomlinson, M., De Silva, M., et al. (2012). PRIME: A programme to reduce the treatment gap for mental disorders in five low- and middle-income countries. The Lancet, 379(9834), 980–981.

Lund, C., Breen, A., Flisher, A. J., et al. (2010). Poverty and common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: A systematic review. Social Science & Medicine, 71(3), 517–528.

Minas, H., & Cohen, A. (2007). Why mental health matters: A community perspective. Health Promotion International, 22(1), 70–77.

Patel, V., Flisher, A. J., Hetrick, S., & McGorry, P. (2007). Mental health of young people: A global public-health challenge. The Lancet, 369(9569), 1302–1313.

Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., et al. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. The Lancet, 392(10157), 1553–1598.

Petersen, I., Baillie, K., Bhana, A., et al. (2011). Lessons from case studies of integrating mental health into primary health care in South Africa and Uganda. International Journal of Mental Health Systems, 5(8), 1–12.

Rahman, A., Malik, A., Sikander, S., Roberts, C., & Creed, F. (2008). Cognitive behaviour therapy-based intervention by community health workers for mothers with depression and their infants in rural Pakistan: A cluster-randomised controlled trial. The Lancet, 372(9642), 902–909.

Saxena, S., Thornicroft, G., Knapp, M., & Whiteford, H. (2007). Resources for mental health: Scarcity, inequity, and inefficiency. The Lancet, 370(9590), 878–889.

Semrau, M., Evans-Lacko, S., Koschorke, M., et al. (2015). Strengthening mental health systems in low- and middle-income countries: The Emerald programme. BMC Medicine, 13, 79.

Setiawan, Y., Suharto, S., & Widyastuti, S. (2020). Peran edukasi kesehatan mental berbasis komunitas dalam menurunkan stigma masyarakat. Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat Indonesia, 15(2), 123–131.

Sharac, J., McCrone, P., Clement, S., & Thornicroft, G. (2010). The economic impact of mental health stigma and discrimination: A systematic review. Epidemiologia e Psichiatria Sociale, 19(3), 223–232.

Silove, D., Ventevogel, P., & Rees, S. (2017). The contemporary refugee crisis: An overview of mental health challenges. World Psychiatry, 16(2), 130–139.

Singla, D. R., Kohrt, B. A., Murray, L. K., et al. (2017). Psychological treatments for the world: Lessons from low- and middle-income countries. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 13, 149–181.

Smith, J. A., & Osborn, M. (2008). Interpretative phenomenological analysis. In J. A. Smith (Ed.), Qualitative Psychology: A Practical Guide to Research Methods (pp. 53–80). Sage.

Srinivasan, T. N., & Thara, R. (2001). Beliefs about causation of schizophrenia: Do Indian families believe in supernatural causes? Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 36(3), 134–140.

Thornicroft, G. (2006). Shunned: Discrimination against people with mental illness. Oxford University Press.

Thornicroft, G., Mehta, N., Clement, S., et al. (2016). Evidence for effective interventions to reduce mental-health-related stigma and discrimination. The Lancet, 387(10023), 1123–1132.

Tol, W. A., Patel, V., Tomlinson, M., et al. (2011). Research priorities for mental health and psychosocial support in humanitarian settings. PLOS Medicine, 8(9), e1001096.

Ventevogel, P., Jordans, M. J. D., Reis, R., & de Jong, J. T. V. M. (2013). Intervention research on mental health and psychosocial support in humanitarian settings: A review of the literature. Intervention, 11(3), 136–148.

Wainberg, M. L., Scorza, P., Shultz, J. M., et al. (2017). Challenges and opportunities in global mental health: A research-to-practice perspective. Current Psychiatry Reports, 19, 28.

Wang, P. S., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., et al. (2007). Use of mental health services for anxiety, mood, and substance disorders in 17 countries in the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. The Lancet, 370(9590), 841–850.

Whiteford, H. A., Degenhardt, L., Rehm, J., et al. (2013). Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. The Lancet, 382(9904), 1575–1586.

WHO. (2021). Comprehensive Mental Health Action Plan 2021–2030. World Health Organization.

Williams, D. R., Gonzalez, H. M., Neighbors, H., et al. (2007). Prevalence and distribution of major depressive disorder in African Americans, Caribbean blacks, and non-Hispanic whites. Archives of General Psychiatry, 64(3), 305–315.

Yanos, P. T., Lucksted, A., Drapalski, A. L., et al. (2015). Interventions targeting mental health self-stigma: A review and comparison. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 38(2), 171–178.

Yogyakarta Provincial Health Office. (2019). Laporan Tahunan Kesehatan Jiwa di Wilayah Padat Penduduk Provinsi DIY. Dinas Kesehatan DIY.