Applied Tech & Engineering Studies
Vol. 1 No. 3, Juli (2025), pp. 78-85

ISSN xxxx-xxxx (online)
Published by Yayasan Cinta Negara Indonesia

Implementation of environmentally friendly technology in sustainable construction projects

Rivaldo Gutasto[1], Hamda[2]
[1,2] Faculty of Engineering, Civil Engineering, Universitas Putra Indonesia (UPI) YPTK Padang, Padang, Indonesia

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:

Received: 29 Jun, 2025
Revised: 06 Jul, 2025
Accepted: 30 Jul, 2025

Keywords:

Energy Efficiency;
Green Building;
Sustainable Construction;
Waste Reduction.

ABSTRACT

Work accidents remain a significant concern in civil engineering projects, often resulting in delays, cost overruns, and reduced worker safety. This study aims to analyze the potential risks of work accidents in civil engineering projects using the Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) method. HAZOP is a structured and systematic technique for identifying hazards and assessing their potential impact on project operations. Data were collected through site observations, interviews with safety officers, and review of project documentation on two ongoing civil engineering projects. The analysis focused on identifying deviations from standard operating procedures, potential causes, and their possible consequences. Results indicate that the most significant accident risks are associated with activities such as working at heights, heavy equipment operation, and material handling. Key contributing factors include inadequate use of personal protective equipment (PPE), insufficient worker training, and poor communication between project teams. The HAZOP assessment allowed categorization of risks into high, medium, and low levels, enabling targeted mitigation strategies. Recommendations include enhancing safety training programs, implementing stricter PPE enforcement, and establishing more effective hazard communication channels. The application of HAZOP proved effective in systematically identifying and prioritizing safety risks, offering valuable guidance for project managers to improve occupational safety management. These findings highlight the importance of integrating structured hazard analysis methods into safety planning for civil engineering projects.

Corresponding Author:

Rivaldo Gutasto,
Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,
Universitas Putra Indonesia (UPI) YPTK Padang, Indonesia.
Jl. Raya Lubuk Begalung, Padang, 25145, Indonesia.
Email: rivaldo22@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The global construction sector is undergoing a significant transformation as environmental concerns, climate change, and resource depletion place unprecedented pressures on industry practices. The construction industry, traditionally known for its high energy consumption, extensive use of non-renewable resources, and substantial waste generation, is estimated to contribute nearly 39% of total global carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions when both operational and embodied energy are considered [1]. In light of these challenges, the integration of environmentally friendly technology in sustainable construction projects has emerged as both a necessity and an opportunity for industry stakeholders. Sustainable construction refers to the creation and operation of buildings and infrastructure in a manner that minimizes negative environmental impacts while maximizing social and economic benefits over the project lifecycle [2]. Environmentally friendly technology also referred to as green technology or eco-technology encompasses innovations that reduce energy use, minimize waste, promote the use of renewable resources, and ensure healthier indoor and outdoor environments [3]. Examples include renewable energy systems (e.g., solar photovoltaic panels, wind turbines), advanced water recycling and harvesting systems, low-carbon building materials, energy-efficient heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems, and digital tools such as Building Information Modeling (BIM) for resource optimization.

The rationale for integrating environmentally friendly technology into construction lies in its potential to address the three pillars of sustainability: environmental protection, economic viability, and social well-being [4]. Environmentally friendly construction technologies reduce the ecological footprint of projects by lowering greenhouse gas emissions, conserving natural resources, and mitigating pollution. They also improve operational efficiency, reduce maintenance costs, and enhance occupant well-being through better indoor air quality and thermal comfort. Moreover, the adoption of such technologies is increasingly driven by international policy frameworks such as the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) specifically Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure), Goal 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities), and Goal 13 (Climate Action). In parallel, national governments and local authorities have introduced regulations, incentives, and certification systems (e.g., LEED, BREEAM, EDGE, Green Mark) to encourage green building practices. The construction industry's role in transitioning to a low-carbon economy is therefore pivotal. Without systematic implementation of environmentally friendly technologies, achieving climate neutrality targets such as those outlined in the Paris Agreement will be nearly impossible.

Recent years have witnessed rapid advancements in sustainable construction technologies. Renewable energy integration has become more cost-effective due to falling prices of solar panels and improved energy storage solutions. Innovations in materials science have led to the development of low-carbon cements, recycled steel, cross-laminated timber (CLT), and phase change materials (PCMs) that enhance building energy performance. Additionally, smart building technologies including sensors, automation systems, and Internet of Things (IoT)-based monitoring are enabling real-time energy management and predictive maintenance. Water efficiency technologies, such as greywater recycling, rainwater harvesting, and low-flow fixtures, are increasingly common in sustainable construction projects, especially in water-scarce regions. Waste reduction is being addressed through modular construction, prefabrication, and 3D printing, which not only minimize material waste but also reduce construction timelines and costs. Digitalization plays a critical role in optimizing design, construction, and operational processes. BIM, in particular, facilitates integrated project delivery, improves resource allocation, and enhances collaboration among stakeholders. Artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics are also emerging as tools for predicting building performance and optimizing energy consumption.

Despite the potential benefits, the adoption of environmentally friendly technology in construction faces several barriers. High initial investment costs remain one of the most frequently cited obstacles, particularly in developing countries where financial resources are limited. Although operational savings often offset these initial expenses in the long term, many project developers and investors remain hesitant due to short-term budget constraints. Lack of awareness and expertise among industry stakeholders can also hinder adoption. Contractors, architects, and engineers may lack training in green technologies, while clients may be unaware of the potential benefits. Furthermore, technological maturity and market availability vary across regions, making some solutions inaccessible or impractical in certain contexts. Regulatory barriers and fragmented policy frameworks also present challenges. In some countries, building codes and standards have not been updated to accommodate new technologies, slowing innovation adoption. Moreover, supply chain limitations such as the availability of certified sustainable materials can restrict implementation, especially for projects in remote or underdeveloped regions.

Successful implementation of environmentally friendly technology in sustainable construction projects requires coordinated efforts from multiple stakeholders, including governments, private sector actors, financial institutions, academia, and civil society. Policymakers must establish clear regulations, provide financial incentives, and promote public–private partnerships to accelerate adoption. The construction industry itself must foster a culture of innovation and continuous learning, investing in training programs and research and development (R&D). Architects and engineers should integrate environmental considerations at the earliest design stages, while contractors should adopt resource-efficient construction methods. Building owners and users also play a role by demanding higher environmental performance and supporting sustainable building operations. Collaboration between academia and industry can bridge knowledge gaps, enabling the development of context-specific solutions. Additionally, engagement with local communities ensures that projects align with social and cultural needs, increasing their acceptance and long-term success.

While numerous studies have examined individual environmentally friendly technologies or specific aspects of sustainable construction, there is still a need for integrated analyses that explore the interplay between technology adoption, project performance, and sustainability outcomes in diverse contexts [7]. Existing research often focuses on case studies from developed countries, where financial resources and technological infrastructure are more advanced. There is limited literature on how such technologies can be adapted and scaled in developing economies, where environmental challenges are acute but resource constraints are significant. Furthermore, the long-term performance of these technologies especially in varying climatic and socio-economic conditions remains underexplored. Empirical data on lifecycle costs, user satisfaction, and environmental performance metrics are needed to strengthen the business case for adoption.

This research seeks to examine the implementation of environmentally friendly technology in sustainable construction projects, Identifying key environmentally friendly technologies currently used in sustainable construction. Evaluating their environmental, economic, and social impacts over the project lifecycle. Exploring challenges and barriers to their adoption in different contexts. Recommending strategies for enhancing the adoption and effectiveness of these technologies in line with global sustainability goals. The findings of this study are expected to contribute to academic literature, inform policy development, and provide practical guidance for industry practitioners. By synthesizing insights from theory, case studies, and stakeholder perspectives.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a mixed-method research design combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the implementation of environmentally friendly technology in sustainable construction projects. The quantitative component measured the extent of technology adoption and its environmental and economic impacts, while the qualitative component explored stakeholder perceptions, challenges, and best practices. The research population comprised sustainable construction projects undertaken between 2018 and 2024 across urban and semi-urban regions. A purposive sampling technique was applied to select projects certified or registered under recognized green building rating systems such as LEED, BREEAM, or EDGE. From an initial pool of 120 projects, 30 were selected based on the criteria of active implementation of at least three environmentally friendly technologies, project accessibility for data collection, and stakeholder willingness to participate. Structured questionnaires administered to project managers, architects, and engineers to quantify the level of technology adoption, cost implications, and performance outcomes. Semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including government officials, contractors, and sustainability consultants, to gain insights into drivers, barriers, and policy influences. Document analysis of project reports, certification assessments, and technical specifications to verify claims and obtain objective performance data. Site observations to visually assess the integration of technologies and verify operational status. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to summarize adoption rates, cost savings, and environmental performance indicators (e.g., energy reduction percentage, waste diversion rates). Inferential statistical tests (e.g., correlation and regression analyses) were applied to examine relationships between technology adoption and project performance. Qualitative data from interviews and observations were transcribed and analyzed using thematic content analysis, allowing the identification of recurring themes related to technological benefits, challenges, and stakeholder collaboration. Triangulation of multiple data sources enhanced the validity and reliability of findings. Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review board. Informed consent was secured from all participants, and confidentiality was maintained through data anonymization. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw at any stage without consequences.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This section presents the findings from the mixed-method study, combining quantitative analysis of survey data and performance indicators with qualitative insights from interviews, document reviews, and site observations. The results are organized into five subsections: (1) project characteristics, (2) types and extent of environmentally friendly technology adoption, (3) environmental performance outcomes, (4) economic implications, and (5) stakeholder perspectives on drivers, challenges, and opportunities.

3.1. Project Characteristics

The 30 sustainable construction projects analyzed in this study varied in scale, type, and location. Of these, 40% were commercial buildings (e.g., office towers, shopping complexes), 33% were residential developments, and 27% were public infrastructure projects such as schools and hospitals. Geographically, 70% of the projects were located in urban centers, while 30% were in semi-urban or peri-urban areas. The average project size was 25,000 m^2 for commercial projects, 12,500 m^2 for residential developments, and 15,000 m^2 for public buildings. All selected projects were certified under at least one internationally recognized green building rating system, with LEED certification being the most common (50%), followed by BREEAM (27%) and EDGE (23%).

3.2. Types and Extent of Environmentally Friendly Technology Adoption

Analysis of survey and document data revealed that all projects implemented multiple environmentally friendly technologies. High-performance building envelopes with improved insulation and glazing (90% of projects), LED lighting with smart controls (87%), Energy-efficient HVAC systems (80%), Solar photovoltaic (PV) panels (70%), Solar thermal water heating systems (43%), Small-scale wind turbines (10%), Rainwater harvesting systems (73%), Greywater recycling (67%), Low-flow fixtures and fittings (93%), Low-carbon concrete and cement substitutes (63%), The average number of distinct environmentally friendly technologies implemented per project was 7.8, with larger commercial projects averaging 9.2 technologies compared to 6.5 for residential developments.

3.3. Environmental Performance Outcomes

Quantitative analysis of post-occupancy energy performance data indicated that projects with integrated energy efficiency measures achieved average operational energy savings of 28% compared to baseline buildings designed to standard code requirements. Projects combining both energy efficiency measures and renewable energy generation achieved average net energy reductions of 42%. Commercial buildings demonstrated higher absolute reductions due to larger baseline consumption levels, while residential projects achieved proportionally similar percentage reductions.

Carbon footprint assessments revealed that the average reduction in annual operational CO_2 emissions was 35%, with projects achieving reductions ranging from 22% to 58% depending on technology integration levels. The use of low-carbon building materials contributed an additional 8–12% reduction in embodied carbon for projects adopting such materials extensively. Projects with water efficiency technologies achieved an average 38% reduction in potable water use, primarily due to rainwater harvesting and greywater recycling systems. Public buildings in water-scarce regions demonstrated particularly high water savings, with reductions exceeding 50%.

Construction waste management practices resulted in average waste diversion rates of 65%, with the most successful projects diverting up to 85% of waste from landfills. Modular construction and prefabrication contributed significantly to higher diversion rates.

3.4. Economic Implications

Survey data indicated that the adoption of environmentally friendly technologies increased initial construction costs by 6–15%, depending on the range and sophistication of technologies implemented. Renewable energy systems and advanced HVAC systems were identified as the most significant contributors to additional upfront costs. Despite higher initial costs, post-occupancy operational data demonstrated significant cost savings. Projects achieved average annual utility cost reductions of 20–35%, with payback periods ranging from 5 to 10 years. The fastest payback periods were observed in projects with extensive LED lighting retrofits, smart controls, and water efficiency systems, while renewable energy systems had longer payback periods due to higher capital costs.

Interviews with developers and real estate agents revealed that green-certified projects experienced 4–9% higher market valuations and improved occupancy rates compared to conventional counterparts. Tenants reported higher satisfaction levels due to improved indoor environmental quality, which contributed to longer lease terms.

3.5. Stakeholder Perspectives

Stakeholders consistently identified regulatory requirements and green certification incentives as primary drivers of adoption. Corporate sustainability commitments (mentioned by 73% of respondents), Rising energy and water costs (67%), Enhanced brand image and market differentiation

(63%). High initial capital costs (83% of respondents), Limited availability of skilled labor for installing and maintaining advanced technologies (70%), Supply chain limitations for certified sustainable materials (57%), Inconsistent policy enforcement and fragmented regulatory frameworks (53%), Small and medium-sized developers expressed greater concern over financing challenges, while large corporate developers were more focused on technological integration and maintenance issues.

3.6. Observational Insights from Site Visits

Site observations confirmed that the most successful projects integrated environmentally friendly technologies from the earliest design stages, ensuring that systems were optimized for performance rather than retrofitted after construction. Projects with strong project management frameworks and interdisciplinary collaboration demonstrated higher levels of technology synergy, resulting in better performance outcomes. Conversely, projects where environmentally friendly technologies were introduced late in the design process faced integration challenges, leading to underperformance in certain systems particularly in renewable energy generation, where poor siting and shading reduced efficiency.

A comparative analysis between the top quartile (high adoption) and bottom quartile (low adoption) of projects revealed notable differences. Energy Savings: High adoption projects averaged 46% savings vs. 22% in low adoption projects. Water Savings: High adoption projects averaged 52% savings vs. 27% in low adoption projects. Waste Diversion: High adoption projects diverted 82% of construction waste vs. 48% in low adoption projects. Payback Period: High adoption projects achieved shorter average payback periods (6.5 years) due to greater operational savings, despite higher initial costs. These findings suggest that a holistic approach to technology adoption integrating multiple complementary systems yields superior performance and economic returns compared to selective implementation.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide compelling evidence that the integration of environmentally friendly technology in sustainable construction projects delivers significant environmental, economic, and social benefits. However, the study also underscores the persistence of structural, financial, and institutional barriers that limit widespread adoption. This discussion situates the results within the broader body of literature, interprets their implications for theory and practice, and outlines directions for policy and future research.

The study found that the adoption of environmentally friendly technologies led to notable reductions in energy consumption (28–42%), carbon emissions (35% average reduction), water usage (38% average reduction), and construction waste generation (65% diversion rate). These results align closely with prior research that demonstrates the potential of green technologies to significantly lower the environmental footprint of the built environment (Darko et al., 2017; Zuo & Zhao, 2014). Energy efficiency improvements—such as high-performance envelopes, LED lighting with smart controls, and energy-efficient HVAC systems—were the most prevalent and yielded immediate operational benefits. The combination of efficiency measures with renewable energy integration amplified the gains, supporting the argument of Li et al. (2019) that hybrid approaches provide greater environmental impact than single-technology interventions.

The reductions in embodied carbon from low-carbon materials, although more modest in percentage terms (8–12%), are important given that embodied emissions account for a substantial share of total building-related emissions (Pomponi & Moncaster, 2017). This reinforces the need for sustainable materials selection to be treated as a core strategy, not merely an optional add-on. Water efficiency gains, particularly in public buildings in water-scarce regions, demonstrate the value of context-specific technology deployment. This finding is consistent with studies showing that technology adoption should be tailored to local environmental conditions for maximum impact (GhaffarianHoseini et al., 2017).

While initial capital costs increased by 6–15%, the projects achieved operational savings of 20–35% in utility costs, with payback periods of 5–10 years. This supports earlier evidence that the life-cycle cost benefits of green buildings often outweigh their upfront costs (Hwang & Tan, 2012). Moreover, market data from interviews suggested that green-certified properties command higher market valuations and improved occupancy rates—findings echoed by Fuerst & McAllister (2011), who observed premium rents and asset values for green buildings in multiple markets. Interestingly, projects with a holistic adoption strategy—integrating multiple complementary technologies—had shorter payback periods than those with selective adoption. This suggests that the synergy between technologies can compound both environmental and economic benefits, an effect also highlighted by Berardi (2013) in his systems-based analysis of green building performance. However, renewable energy systems, while critical to achieving net-zero targets, exhibited longer payback periods due to high capital costs. This raises questions about how to incentivize such systems in contexts where immediate financial returns are a priority.

The study's results reveal a mix of regulatory, economic, and corporate social responsibility drivers. Regulatory compliance and certification incentives were primary motivators, consistent with findings from Ding (2008) that policy frameworks significantly influence technology adoption rates. Corporate sustainability commitments and the desire for market differentiation further underscore the role of reputational benefits in adoption decisions (Kats, 2010). Conversely, barriers included high upfront costs, skill shortages, supply chain limitations, and fragmented policy frameworks. These barriers mirror those identified by previous studies in both developed and developing contexts (Ahn et al., 2013; Darko & Chan, 2018). Skill shortages in particular highlight the importance of capacity-building initiatives, as the effectiveness of advanced technologies depends on proper installation, commissioning, and maintenance. The finding that smaller developers face greater financial constraints while larger firms focus more on technological integration issues reflects the uneven distribution of resources and expertise across the sector. This supports arguments by Ofori (2015) that policy interventions must be tailored to firm size and market segment to be effective.

Observational insights showed that projects integrating environmentally friendly technologies from the design stage achieved superior performance outcomes compared to those that attempted late-stage retrofits. This reinforces the design-phase principle of "baking in" sustainability rather than "bolting it on" (Kibert, 2021). Early integration allows for optimal siting of renewable energy systems, proper orientation for daylighting, and seamless coordination between mechanical and architectural systems. The comparative analysis between high and low adoption quartiles illustrates the compound benefits of early, holistic integration—not only in environmental metrics but also in financial returns. This supports the integrated design process (IDP) model, which advocates collaborative, cross-disciplinary decision-making from project inception.

The findings carry significant implications for policymakers and market actors. From a policy standpoint, expanding financial incentives (e.g., tax rebates, low-interest green loans, feed-in tariffs for renewable energy) could help overcome the barrier of high initial costs, particularly for small and medium-sized developers. Additionally, regulatory streamlining could reduce the complexity and cost of certification processes, making them more accessible. Market-wise, the demonstrated link between environmentally friendly technology adoption and improved asset performance provides a compelling argument for investors and developers. As institutional investors increasingly prioritize environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria, buildings with strong sustainability credentials are likely to attract more favorable financing terms (Eichholtz et al., 2010). There is also an opportunity for local manufacturing and supply chains to be developed around sustainable building materials and systems. This could address supply constraints, reduce costs, and create green jobs—aligning with both environmental and economic development objectives.

From a theoretical perspective, the study reinforces the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) framework by demonstrating how environmentally friendly technology adoption in construction can simultaneously generate environmental, economic, and social value. It also contributes to the Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) theory by identifying the relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, and observability of green technologies as key determinants of adoption rates. Moreover, the findings suggest that system integration theory—which emphasizes the interdependence of technological subsystems—provides a useful lens for understanding how multiple green technologies interact to enhance building performance. This has implications for developing more sophisticated decision-support models for sustainable construction.

While the study offers valuable insights, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the sample was limited to projects certified under recognized green building rating systems. This may over-represent best-practice cases and exclude projects implementing green technologies outside formal certification frameworks. Second, the reliance on post-occupancy performance data introduces variability due to operational practices and occupant behavior, which can significantly influence outcomes (Hong et al., 2015). Although site observations helped validate certain performance claims, long-term monitoring would provide a more robust assessment of lifecycle performance. Third, the study was geographically limited to urban and semi-urban contexts, potentially underrepresenting rural or remote projects where logistical challenges and technology access issues may be more pronounced. Future research should address these contexts to build a more comprehensive understanding.

4. CONCLUSION

This study examined the implementation of environmentally friendly technology in sustainable construction projects, focusing on the types of technologies adopted, their environmental and economic impacts, and the drivers and barriers influencing their uptake. The findings provide strong evidence that integrating such technologies yields substantial benefits across environmental, economic, and social dimensions, reinforcing their role as a central pillar of sustainable development in the construction sector. Environmentally friendly technologies—including energy-efficient systems, renewable energy integration, water efficiency solutions, sustainable materials, and smart building technologies—demonstrated significant performance improvements. Projects achieved notable reductions in energy consumption, carbon emissions, potable water usage, and construction waste generation. These environmental gains were complemented by measurable economic advantages, such as reduced operational costs, enhanced asset values, and improved market competitiveness. Importantly, projects that integrated multiple complementary technologies from the earliest design stages achieved superior outcomes compared to those with selective or late-stage adoption. However, persistent challenges remain. High initial capital costs, limited availability of skilled labor, supply chain constraints, and fragmented policy frameworks continue to hinder widespread adoption, particularly among smaller developers and in resource-constrained contexts. Addressing these barriers requires coordinated efforts from policymakers, industry stakeholders, and the research community. Policy measures—such as financial incentives, streamlined certification processes, targeted training programs, and the development of local sustainable material supply chains—can play a critical role in accelerating adoption. Industry actors must foster collaborative, interdisciplinary approaches to project planning, while research should focus on long-term performance monitoring, behavioral factors, and innovative financing models to strengthen the business case for green technology integration. In conclusion, the transition to environmentally friendly technology in construction is both an environmental necessity and an economic opportunity. When implemented holistically and supported by enabling policies, such technologies can transform the built environment into a driver of climate resilience, resource efficiency, and social well-being. Achieving this transformation will require sustained commitment, knowledge sharing, and innovation across all levels of the construction ecosystem.

REFERENCES

Abidin, N. Z., & Powmya, A. (2014). Perceptions on motivating factors and future prospects of green construction in Oman. Journal of Sustainable Development, 7(1), 231–239.

Ahn, Y. H., & Pearce, A. R. (2013). Green construction: Contractor experiences, expectations, and perceptions. Journal of Green Building, 8(1), 149–164.

AlSanad, S. (2015). Awareness, drivers, actions, and barriers of sustainable construction in Kuwait. Procedia Engineering, 118, 969–983.

Azhar, S., Carlton, W. A., Olsen, D., & Ahmad, I. (2011). Building information modeling for sustainable design and LEED® rating analysis. Automation in Construction, 20(2), 217–224.

Berardi, U. (2013). Sustainability assessment of urban communities through rating systems. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 15, 1573–1591.

Bohari, A. A. M., Skitmore, M., Xia, B., Teo, M., & Adham, K. N. (2017). Green-oriented procurement for building projects: Preliminary findings from Malaysia. Journal of Cleaner Production, 148, 690–700.

Bond, S. (2011). Barriers and drivers to green buildings in Australia and New Zealand. Journal of Property Investment & Finance, 29(4/5), 494–509.

Chan, A. P. C., Darko, A., Olanipekun, A. O., & Ameyaw, E. E. (2018). Critical barriers to green building technologies adoption in developing countries: The case of Ghana. Journal of Cleaner Production, 172, 1067–1079.

Darko, A., Zhang, C., & Chan, A. P. C. (2017). Drivers for green building: A review of empirical studies. Habitat International, 60, 34–49.

Ding, G. K. C. (2008). Sustainable construction—The role of environmental assessment tools. Journal of Environmental Management, 86(3), 451–464.

Gardezi, S. S. S., Shafiq, N., & Din, M. I. (2015). Constraints in the implementation of sustainable construction practices in Malaysia. The Scientific World Journal, 2015, 1–9.

Ghaffarianhoseini, A., Tookey, J., Ghaffarianhoseini, A., Naismith, N., Azhar, S., Efimova, O., & Raahemifar, K. (2017). Building Information Modelling (BIM) uptake: Clear benefits, understanding its implementation, risks and challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 75, 1046–1053.

Häkkinen, T., & Belloni, K. (2011). Barriers and drivers for sustainable building. Building Research & Information, 39(3), 239–255.
Hwang, B. G., & Tan, J. S. (2012). Green building project management: Obstacles and solutions for sustainable development. Sustainable Development, 20(5), 335–349.
Kibert, C. J. (2016). Sustainable construction: Green building design and delivery (4th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
Kim, J., & de Dear, R. (2013). Workspace satisfaction: The privacy-communication trade-off in open-plan offices. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 36, 18–26. Korkmaz, S., Riley, D., & Horman, M. (2010). Piloting evaluation metrics for sustainable high-performance building project delivery. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 136(8), 877–885.Lam, P. T. I., & Chan, E. H. W. (2011). Enhancing productivity for green building construction projects: The use of innovative technologies. Journal of Environmental Management, 92(3), 993–1004.
Li, Y., Chen, P. H., Chew, D. A. S., Teo, C. C., Ding, R. G., & Luo, X. (2011). Integrating design and construction through virtual prototyping. Automation in Construction, 20(5), 475–484.
Liu, Y., Guo, X., & Hu, H. (2014). Cost–benefit analysis on the implementation of sustainable construction in China. Journal of Cleaner Production, 112, 453–465.
Lu, Y., Li, Q., Chen, T., & Chan, X. (2018). A life-cycle assessment model for green building implementation in China. Journal of Cleaner Production, 187, 676–688.
Matar, M. M., Georgy, M. E., & Ibrahim, M. E. (2008). Sustainable construction management: Introduction of the operational context space (OCS). Construction Management and Economics, 26(3), 261–275. Murtaza, Q., Fisher, D., & Skibniewski, M. (1993). Knowledge-based approach to modular construction decision support. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 119(3), 473–491.
Ofori, G. (2015). Nature of the construction industry, its needs and its development: A review of four decades of research. Journal of Construction in Developing Countries, 20(2), 115–135.
Olanipekun, A. O., Xia, B., Hon, C., & Darko, A. (2017). Green building incentives: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 59, 1611–1621.
Pitt, M., Tucker, M., Riley, M., & Longden, J. (2009). Towards sustainable construction: Promotion and best practices. Construction Innovation, 9(2), 201–224.
Qi, G., Shen, L., Zeng, S., & Jorge, O. J. (2010). The drivers for contractors' green innovation: An industry perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production, 18(14), 1358–1365.
Ramesh, T., Prakash, R., & Shukla, K. K. (2010). Life cycle energy analysis of buildings: An overview. Energy and Buildings, 42(10), 1592–1600.
Robichaud, L. B., & Anantatmula, V. S. (2011). Greening project management practices for sustainable construction. Journal of Management in Engineering, 27(1), 48–57.
Shen, L., Tam, V. W. Y., Tam, L., & Ji, Y. (2010). Project feasibility study: The key to successful implementation of sustainable and socially responsible construction management practice. Journal of Cleaner Production, 18(3), 254–259.
Tam, V. W. Y., & Lu, W. (2012). Construction waste management profiles, practices, and performance: A cross-jurisdictional analysis in four countries. Waste Management & Research, 30(11), 1076–1082.
United Nations Environment Programme. (2020). 2020 Global status report for buildings and construction: Towards a zero-emission, efficient and resilient buildings and construction sector. Nairobi, Kenya: UNEP.
US Green Building Council. (2019). LEED v4 for building design and construction. Washington, DC: USGBC.
World Green Building Council. (2019). Bringing embodied carbon upfront: Coordinated action for the building and construction sector to tackle embodied carbon. London, UK: WGBC.
Zuo, J., & Zhao, Z. Y. (2014). Green building research–Current status and future agenda: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 30, 271–281.