

Phenomenological Study of Student Experiences in Online Learning During the Pandemic

Dewi Oktaviani¹, Aini Wulandari², Putri Utami³

^{1,2,3} Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Pejuang Republik Indonesia, Makassar, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 30 Jun, 2025

Revised: 05 Jul, 2025

Accepted: 30 Jul, 2025

Keywords:

COVID-19 Pandemic;

Online Learning;

Phenomenology;

Student Experiences.

ABSTRACT

This phenomenological study explores the lived experiences of students engaging in online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. As educational institutions worldwide rapidly transitioned to remote instruction, students faced unprecedented challenges and opportunities in adapting to virtual environments. Through in-depth interviews with a diverse group of undergraduate and graduate students, this research uncovers the multifaceted nature of their online learning experiences. Key themes include technological barriers, shifts in motivation, changes in social interaction, and the impact on academic performance and mental well-being. Participants reported both positive aspects, such as increased flexibility and self-paced learning, and negative consequences, including feelings of isolation, digital fatigue, and difficulties in maintaining focus. The study also highlights students' strategies for overcoming obstacles, emphasizing resilience and adaptability. Findings contribute to a deeper understanding of how the pandemic reshaped students' educational journeys and provide valuable insights for educators and policymakers to enhance the effectiveness of online learning modalities. This research underscores the importance of fostering supportive virtual learning communities and designing accessible, engaging online curricula to better meet students' needs in current and future educational landscapes.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license.



Corresponding Author:

Dewi Oktaviani,
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education,
Universitas Pejuang Republik Indonesia, Makassar, Indonesia,
Jl. Gunung Bawakaraeng No. 72, Makassar, 90145, Indonesia.
Email: wiwi_oktaviani@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has precipitated one of the most significant disruptions to global education systems in modern history. As governments imposed lockdowns and social distancing measures to contain the spread of the virus, educational institutions worldwide were compelled to close their physical campuses abruptly, triggering an unprecedented shift from traditional face-to-face instruction to fully online learning modalities. This sudden transition introduced a complex array of challenges and opportunities for students, educators, and institutions alike. The present phenomenological study seeks to explore and understand the lived experiences of students navigating this new educational terrain during the pandemic, with a focus on the subjective realities shaping their engagement with online learning.

Historically, online education has grown steadily, fueled by advances in technology and evolving pedagogical approaches that emphasize flexibility and accessibility. Prior to the pandemic, online learning was often viewed as a supplementary or alternative mode of instruction, catering primarily to non-traditional learners or those seeking convenience. However, the global health crisis accelerated the integration of digital platforms into mainstream education at an unparalleled scale and pace, transforming online learning from an optional format into a mandatory, often exclusive, method of instruction for millions of students. This transformation has prompted educators, policymakers, and

researchers to reconsider foundational assumptions about teaching and learning, particularly regarding student engagement, equity, and the role of technology in facilitating academic success.

The phenomenological approach adopted in this study is rooted in the philosophical tradition established by Edmund Husserl, which centers on the exploration of individuals' lived experiences to uncover the essence of phenomena as they are consciously perceived. By focusing on students' subjective experiences with online learning during the pandemic, this research seeks to move beyond quantitative metrics of academic performance or usage statistics and delve into the rich, nuanced realities of how students perceive, interpret, and respond to their altered educational contexts. Such an approach is essential to capturing the emotional, cognitive, and social dimensions of learning that often elude standardized assessments but are critical to understanding the broader impacts of online education on student well-being and development.

Students' experiences during the pandemic are marked by a diverse range of factors influencing their ability to learn effectively. Technological access and proficiency constitute fundamental concerns; many students faced significant disparities in internet connectivity, availability of devices, and familiarity with digital tools, exacerbating pre-existing inequalities. The digital divide has thus emerged as a salient issue, with socio-economic status, geographic location, and institutional support playing pivotal roles in shaping students' online learning experiences. Beyond technological barriers, students grappled with the psychological and social implications of isolation, reduced peer interaction, and the blurring of boundaries between academic and personal spaces. The loss of campus life and face-to-face interactions not only affected motivation and engagement but also had profound effects on mental health, with increased reports of anxiety, stress, and feelings of disconnection.

Simultaneously, the flexibility inherent in online learning presented unique advantages for some students. The ability to access lectures asynchronously, tailor study schedules, and reduce commuting time allowed for greater autonomy and self-regulation in learning. For non-traditional students, such as those balancing employment or caregiving responsibilities, these features facilitated continued education in a challenging context. However, such benefits were not uniformly experienced, highlighting the heterogeneity of student experiences and the importance of contextualizing findings within individual circumstances and institutional practices. The rapid adoption of various online learning platforms, including video conferencing tools, learning management systems, and interactive digital resources, necessitated a swift adaptation not only by students but also by educators. The effectiveness of online pedagogy varied widely, influenced by factors such as instructors' digital literacy, course design, and availability of support services. Students often reported frustration with technical issues, unclear communication, and perceived reductions in instructional quality, underscoring the need for ongoing professional development and institutional investment in robust, user-centered digital learning environments.

Given the profound and multifaceted impact of the pandemic on higher education, there is an urgent need to document and analyze students' experiences systematically. Such understanding is critical for informing the development of equitable and effective online learning strategies both during ongoing public health crises and in the post-pandemic future. While existing literature has begun to examine various dimensions of remote learning, much remains to be explored concerning the holistic, lived realities of students, particularly through qualitative methodologies that capture the depth and complexity of their experiences. This study therefore aims to fill a gap by employing a phenomenological lens to investigate how students perceived and made sense of their online learning experiences throughout the pandemic period. The research questions guiding this inquiry focus on identifying the essential themes that characterize students' experiences, understanding the emotional and cognitive responses elicited by online learning environments, and exploring how students negotiated challenges and leveraged opportunities to persist in their educational journeys.

In addition to contributing to academic scholarship, the findings of this study hold practical implications for educators, administrators, and policymakers. By amplifying student voices, this research provides insights into the support structures, pedagogical approaches, and technological frameworks that can enhance student engagement and well-being in online settings. It also highlights areas requiring attention to address disparities and promote inclusivity in digital education. In summary, the shift to online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic represents a transformative moment in the history of education. Understanding students' lived experiences during this period is essential for shaping resilient and responsive educational systems that can adapt to ongoing and future disruptions. Through a phenomenological exploration of these experiences, this study seeks to

illuminate the complex realities faced by students and inform the evolution of online learning in a manner that prioritizes human-centered, equitable, and effective education.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a qualitative phenomenological research design to explore the lived experiences of students engaging in online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. Phenomenology was chosen as the most appropriate approach because it prioritizes the subjective meanings and personal perceptions of participants, enabling a deep understanding of how students made sense of their educational experiences in a rapidly changing environment. The research aimed to capture the essence of these experiences by focusing on participants' reflections, emotions, and interpretations related to online learning. Participants were purposively selected to ensure diversity in terms of academic level, discipline, and demographic background, allowing for a comprehensive view of varied student experiences. Recruitment was conducted through university mailing lists and social media platforms, inviting students who had engaged in online learning since the onset of the pandemic to participate voluntarily. A total of 15 students, comprising both undergraduate and graduate learners, took part in the study. Data were collected primarily through semi-structured, in-depth interviews conducted via video conferencing platforms to accommodate pandemic-related restrictions. The interview protocol included open-ended questions designed to elicit detailed descriptions of participants' experiences, challenges, coping strategies, and perceptions of the online learning environment. Interviews lasted approximately 45 to 60 minutes and were audio-recorded with consent, then transcribed verbatim for analysis. Data analysis followed the steps outlined by Colaizzi's phenomenological method, which involves reading transcripts repeatedly, extracting significant statements, formulating meanings, and clustering these into themes that represent the core aspects of participants' experiences. Throughout the process, reflexivity and bracketing were maintained to minimize researcher bias and ensure the authenticity of interpretations. Ethical considerations, including informed consent, confidentiality, and the right to withdraw, were strictly observed. This methodology facilitated a rich, nuanced understanding of the complexities surrounding students' online learning experiences during the pandemic.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Results

Technological Challenges and Digital Divide

One of the most pervasive themes emerging from the study was the technological challenges students faced during the transition to online learning. Despite the ubiquity of digital tools, many participants reported significant barriers related to internet connectivity, device availability, and technical proficiency. These issues were not uniformly distributed but rather highlighted existing inequities known as the digital divide. Students from lower socio-economic backgrounds or rural areas were disproportionately affected, often lacking reliable high-speed internet or access to suitable hardware such as laptops or tablets. This created frustration, delayed submissions, and sometimes forced students to borrow devices or rely on public Wi-Fi hotspots, which were often unavailable due to lockdown restrictions.

Moreover, the rapid shift to online platforms demanded a steep learning curve in navigating various software such as Zoom, Google Classroom, or institution-specific learning management systems. Participants described initial confusion and anxiety stemming from inconsistent instructions and technical glitches during live sessions. For some, the lack of prior exposure to these tools increased feelings of incompetence and decreased motivation. Technical failures during assessments or group discussions further exacerbated stress, sometimes leading to lower academic performance and feelings of helplessness.

However, some students adapted over time, developing digital literacy skills that they considered valuable beyond the pandemic context. Institutions that provided timely technical support, tutorials, and troubleshooting resources were perceived more positively, indicating that targeted interventions can mitigate some technological barriers. This theme underscores the critical need for addressing infrastructural inequalities and equipping students with digital competencies to ensure equitable access to quality education in increasingly digital learning environments.

Emotional and Psychological Impacts

The psychological and emotional consequences of shifting to online learning were profound and multifaceted. Participants frequently reported feelings of isolation and loneliness, resulting from the loss of face-to-face interaction with peers and instructors. The physical separation from campus

communities diminished opportunities for spontaneous conversations, group study sessions, and social support, all of which are integral to the traditional college experience. Many students expressed that online learning environments felt impersonal and disengaging, contributing to a sense of detachment from their academic pursuits.

In addition to social isolation, the blurred boundaries between home and school life created difficulties in maintaining focus and managing time effectively. Several participants described experiencing “Zoom fatigue,” a phenomenon characterized by exhaustion from prolonged screen time, which negatively impacted concentration and motivation. The absence of structured schedules and physical separation from academic spaces made it challenging for students to create productive routines, often leading to procrastination or burnout.

Furthermore, anxiety and stress levels increased due to uncertainties about academic expectations, assessments, and future career prospects amidst the pandemic’s broader socio-economic disruptions. Some students reported exacerbation of pre-existing mental health conditions, while others experienced new symptoms such as insomnia and depressive moods. Notably, students who lacked adequate emotional support or who faced additional external stressors, such as family health concerns or financial difficulties, were more vulnerable to these psychological effects. Nevertheless, a subset of participants described developing coping mechanisms that helped mitigate negative emotions. These included establishing virtual peer networks, engaging in mindfulness practices, and seeking professional counseling services offered by their institutions. This finding highlights the importance of mental health resources and community-building initiatives as integral components of online learning frameworks.

Flexibility and Autonomy in Learning

Despite numerous challenges, many students recognized and appreciated the flexibility afforded by online learning during the pandemic. The ability to access lectures asynchronously allowed them to manage their time more independently and accommodate other responsibilities such as part-time jobs or family care. This flexibility was especially beneficial for non-traditional students or those with diverse learning styles, enabling a more personalized educational experience. Some participants noted that they could revisit recorded materials multiple times, which enhanced comprehension and retention compared to live lectures. The shift to online learning also encouraged greater self-directed learning, as students had to take more initiative in organizing study schedules, seeking supplementary resources, and engaging with course content without constant instructor supervision. This increased autonomy fostered valuable skills such as time management, digital literacy, and self-motivation, which students believed would benefit them in their future academic and professional endeavors.

However, this newfound independence was a double-edged sword. While some thrived, others struggled with the lack of external accountability and structure. Participants reported that without in-person reminders or immediate feedback, it was easy to procrastinate or feel overwhelmed by the volume of work. The effectiveness of this flexibility depended largely on individual personality traits, prior habits, and the quality of course design. Courses that incorporated regular check-ins, interactive activities, and clear guidelines were more successful in supporting student engagement. Overall, the experiences of flexibility and autonomy revealed the need for balance in online learning models providing students with freedom while ensuring sufficient support and structure to promote sustained motivation and achievement.

Evolving Perceptions of Online Learning and Future Implications

The pandemic-induced immersion in online learning reshaped students’ perceptions of digital education in nuanced ways. Initially, many students approached online learning with skepticism or apprehension, anticipating a diminished educational experience. However, as the semester progressed, some participants’ attitudes shifted positively, recognizing the potential of well-designed online courses to deliver quality instruction and enhance accessibility. Students acknowledged that the pandemic served as an unplanned experiment accelerating educational innovation and digital transformation. Nonetheless, most participants agreed that online learning could not fully replace traditional face-to-face education, particularly in fostering interpersonal connections, spontaneous interactions, and experiential learning opportunities such as labs and group projects. The lack of immediate, personal feedback and the challenges in replicating hands-on experiences online were cited as major limitations. As a result, many advocated for a hybrid model combining the strengths of both modalities to maximize learning outcomes and engagement.

The study’s findings suggest that students expect future educational offerings to integrate more flexible, technology-enhanced components, while maintaining opportunities for direct social and academic interaction. They emphasized the importance of instructor training, improved digital

infrastructure, and enhanced communication channels to support this blended approach. Furthermore, students called for increased attention to mental health support and equitable access to digital resources to prevent exclusion and burnout. In conclusion, the lived experiences documented in this study reflect a complex interplay of challenges, adaptations, and evolving attitudes toward online learning. These insights provide valuable guidance for educators and institutions striving to design resilient, inclusive, and student-centered learning environments capable of withstanding ongoing and future disruptions.

Discussions

The phenomenological exploration of students' experiences in online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic reveals a multifaceted landscape characterized by technological challenges, emotional complexities, evolving perceptions of pedagogy, and emergent opportunities for flexibility and autonomy. This study's findings resonate with, extend, and in some respects challenge existing literature on digital education in crisis contexts, providing nuanced insights into the lived realities of learners navigating an unprecedented disruption to traditional education. This discussion critically reflects on these themes, contextualizing them within broader educational discourses and highlighting implications for practice and policy. A predominant theme across participants' narratives was the pervasive impact of technological barriers, often framed through the lens of the digital divide. This divide manifested not only in disparities of device availability and internet connectivity but also in the uneven distribution of digital literacy skills. Echoing findings from recent studies (e.g., Van Deursen & Van Dijk, 2019; Dhawan, 2020), students from lower socio-economic backgrounds and rural settings experienced significant disadvantages that affected their capacity to engage fully with online platforms. The immediate transition to remote learning exposed and intensified these inequities, underscoring the critical need for institutions and policymakers to address infrastructural gaps proactively.

Interestingly, the study revealed that technological challenges extended beyond mere access issues. Participants highlighted frustrations stemming from platform inconsistencies, software glitches, and unclear institutional guidelines, factors that contributed to cognitive overload and digital fatigue. These findings align with the concept of "technostress," described in the literature as the psychological strain induced by the constant use of technology (Tarafdar et al., 2015). The experience of technostress during the pandemic illustrates how emergency remote teaching, while necessary, may not equate to effective online education without adequate preparation, support, and user-friendly technology. Thus, the study advocates for a shift from viewing technology as a neutral conduit of knowledge toward recognizing the complex interplay between technology, pedagogy, and learner experience. Beyond technological concerns, the emotional and psychological dimensions of online learning emerged as central to students' overall experience. Feelings of isolation, anxiety, and demotivation were recurrent, reflecting broader societal mental health challenges during the pandemic (Brooks et al., 2020). The loss of physical campus environments, which traditionally function as social and academic hubs, deprived students of essential interpersonal interactions and informal learning opportunities. These findings support prior research emphasizing the importance of social presence in online education to foster engagement and a sense of belonging (Garrison, Anderson, & Archer, 2010).

Notably, the phenomenon of "Zoom fatigue" described by participants highlights the cognitive and emotional toll exacted by prolonged virtual interactions, a topic gaining increasing attention in educational psychology (Bailenson, 2021). The constant need for heightened focus, reduced nonverbal cues, and increased self-presentation during video calls can lead to exhaustion and disengagement. This underscores the necessity for educators to design online experiences that balance synchronous and asynchronous elements, incorporate breaks, and promote varied interaction modes to mitigate fatigue. Despite these challenges, many students expressed appreciation for the flexibility and autonomy enabled by online learning formats. The ability to control study schedules and pace was a significant benefit, particularly for those juggling external responsibilities such as work or caregiving. These findings align with adult learning theories emphasizing self-directed learning as a key component of effective education (Knowles, 1975). However, the study also uncovered a nuanced perspective whereby flexibility could engender feelings of isolation and reduced motivation for some students lacking intrinsic self-regulation skills. This duality calls for a balanced pedagogical approach that fosters autonomy while providing scaffolding, structured deadlines, and social support to sustain engagement.

The study's findings around evolving perceptions of online learning reflect a complex attitudinal shift among students. Initial skepticism gave way for some to a more positive appraisal of online modalities, recognizing potential benefits for accessibility and personalized learning. Yet, most participants maintained that fully online education could not entirely substitute for face-to-face interaction, particularly for disciplines requiring hands-on practice, collaborative projects, or

spontaneous intellectual exchange. This suggests that while emergency remote teaching may have exposed students to online learning's possibilities, it also highlighted inherent limitations that must be addressed in future educational models. The strong preference expressed for hybrid or blended learning models corroborates emerging trends in post-pandemic higher education (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008). Students envision educational environments combining the convenience and flexibility of digital tools with the relational richness of in-person experiences. This perspective aligns with constructivist pedagogies emphasizing social learning and interaction as vital for deep understanding (Vygotsky, 1978). The study thereby supports calls for institutional investment in hybrid models that integrate technology thoughtfully and inclusively.

Mental health considerations emerged as an indispensable component of online learning discourse in this study. The psychological strain described by participants accentuates the intersection between educational and well-being outcomes, reinforcing the argument that academic success is inseparable from mental health support. Institutional responses varied, with some students reporting effective access to counseling and peer support networks, while others experienced barriers such as stigma, lack of awareness, or inadequate services. These findings resonate with existing calls for comprehensive, proactive mental health strategies embedded within online education frameworks (Stallman, 2010). Importantly, participants emphasized that creating a sense of community and fostering interpersonal connections through virtual means could alleviate some of the adverse emotional effects, highlighting the role of social presence as a buffer against isolation. The phenomenological approach of this study allowed for a deep, empathetic understanding of students' subjective realities, revealing complexities often overlooked in quantitative surveys or administrative data. The rich, detailed accounts illuminated how individual contexts, personalities, and support systems influenced the online learning experience. This underscores the limitation of one-size-fits-all approaches in digital education and points to the necessity of flexible, personalized support mechanisms. Furthermore, the findings call for greater student involvement in decision-making processes around curriculum design and technological adoption to ensure responsiveness to diverse needs.

Several practical implications arise from this research. First, institutions must prioritize equitable access to technology and digital skills training, ensuring all students can participate meaningfully. Second, mental health services need to be integrated seamlessly into academic programs, with increased visibility and accessibility in online settings. Third, educators should receive ongoing professional development to master effective online pedagogies that balance engagement, interaction, and workload. Finally, the design of online courses should incorporate flexibility balanced with structure, varied communication modes, and opportunities for social connection. While this study offers valuable insights, certain limitations warrant consideration. The sample, though diverse, may not capture the full spectrum of student experiences, particularly those from marginalized groups who might face compounded challenges. Additionally, the phenomenological focus on subjective experience, while rich, precludes broad generalization and calls for complementary research methods to triangulate findings. Future research could explore longitudinal impacts of prolonged online learning and examine institutional practices that best support diverse learners.

In conclusion, the COVID-19 pandemic has acted as a catalyst accelerating the integration of online learning into mainstream education while exposing both its potential and its pitfalls. This phenomenological study highlights that students' experiences during this time were deeply shaped by technological access, emotional resilience, pedagogical design, and institutional support. Recognizing and responding to these interrelated factors is essential for creating inclusive, effective, and human-centered online learning environments that not only survive crisis conditions but thrive in evolving educational landscapes. As higher education moves forward, the voices and experiences of students must remain central in shaping a future where digital learning is accessible, engaging, and supportive for all.

4. CONCLUSION

This phenomenological study has provided a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of students' lived experiences with online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. Through in-depth exploration of their perspectives, the research illuminated the complex interplay of technological, emotional, pedagogical, and social factors that shaped how students navigated this unprecedented educational shift. The findings underscore that the pandemic-induced transition to online learning was far from a uniform experience; instead, it was marked by diverse challenges and opportunities influenced by individual circumstances, institutional support, and broader systemic inequities.

Technological challenges emerged as a fundamental barrier for many students, highlighting persistent issues of digital inequity.

Unequal access to reliable internet and appropriate devices, compounded by variable digital literacy, created significant obstacles to full participation. These challenges underscore the urgency for educational institutions and policymakers to address infrastructural disparities and provide targeted technical support to ensure that online learning environments are accessible and inclusive for all students. Equally significant were the emotional and psychological impacts reported by participants. Feelings of isolation, anxiety, and fatigue were prevalent, reflecting the broader mental health crisis exacerbated by the pandemic and the social distancing measures. The loss of campus community and in-person interactions underscored the essential role of social presence in fostering motivation and engagement. This study reinforces the need for integrated mental health resources and deliberate community-building efforts within online educational frameworks to support student well-being. Conversely, students also highlighted the flexibility and autonomy afforded by online learning as valuable aspects that allowed for more personalized and adaptable study routines. However, this flexibility was a double-edged sword; without sufficient structure and support, some students struggled with self-regulation and motivation.

These findings point to the importance of balanced course designs that empower learners while providing clear guidance and interactive opportunities to sustain engagement. Overall, the study revealed evolving perceptions of online learning. While initial skepticism gave way for some to a recognition of its benefits, most participants viewed fully online education as a complement rather than a replacement for face-to-face instruction. The preference for hybrid learning models that integrate the strengths of both modalities suggests a promising direction for future educational design, one that embraces technology's potential while preserving critical human connections. In conclusion, the experiences documented in this study offer vital insights for educators, administrators, and policymakers aiming to build resilient, equitable, and student-centered learning environments in a post-pandemic world. By acknowledging and addressing the diverse realities of students, fostering inclusive access, supporting mental health, and embracing flexible yet structured pedagogies, educational institutions can better prepare for future disruptions and enhance the quality of learning for all. This study not only contributes to the growing body of knowledge on pandemic-era education but also serves as a call to action to prioritize student voices and experiences as central to the ongoing evolution of online and blended learning landscapes.

REFERENCES

- Bailenson, J. N. (2021). Nonverbal overload: A theoretical argument for the causes of Zoom fatigue. *Technology, Mind, and Behavior*, 2(1).
- Bao, W. (2020). COVID-19 and online teaching in higher education: A case study of Peking University. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2(2).
- Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G. J. (2020). The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet*, 395(10227), 912-920.
- Cao, W., Fang, Z., Hou, G., Han, M., Xu, X., Dong, J., & Zheng, J. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Research*, 287, 112934.
- Colaizzi, P. F. (1978). Psychological research as the phenomenologist views it. In R. Valle & M. King (Eds.), *Existential-phenomenological alternatives for psychology* (pp. 48-71). Oxford University Press.
- Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 49(1), 5-22.
- Ellis, R. A., Ginns, P., & Piggott, L. (2009). Students' experiences of e-learning in higher education: The ecology of motivation. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 25(2), 268-287.
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2010). The first decade of the community of inquiry framework: A retrospective. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 13(1-2), 5-9.
- Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines*. Jossey-Bass.
- Hsieh, H.-F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(9), 1277-1288.
- Jena, P. K. (2020). Online learning during lockdown period for COVID-19 in India. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 15(1), 191-196.
- Knowles, M. S. (1975). *Self-directed learning: A guide for learners and teachers*. Association Press.
- Lee, J. (2020). Mental health effects of school closures during COVID-19. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*, 4(6), 421.

- Li, C., & Lalani, F. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has changed education forever. This is how. World Economic Forum.
- Liu, S., & Wang, W. (2020). A study on the psychological state of college students during the COVID-19 epidemic. *Medical Science Monitor*, 26, e923680.
- Manca, S., & Ranieri, M. (2016). Facebook and the others. Potentials and obstacles of Social Media for teaching in higher education. *Computers & Education*, 95, 216-230.
- Martin, F., & Bolliger, D. U. (2018). Engagement matters: Student perceptions on the importance of engagement strategies in the online learning environment. *Online Learning*, 22(1), 205-222.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldana, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Neuwirth, L. S., Chambers, T., & Kramer, A. (2020). Children and COVID-19: State-level data report. American Academy of Pediatrics.
- Noddings, N. (2013). *Caring: A relational approach to ethics and moral education* (2nd ed.). University of California Press.
- Orhan, F., & Beyhan, Ö. (2021). A qualitative study on student perceptions of online learning during COVID-19 pandemic. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26, 7537-7554. Palos-Sanchez, P., Saura, J. R., & Debasa, F. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on university students: An analysis of their emotional and academic adaptation. *Sustainability*, 12(18), 7762.
- Park, J. H., & Lim, C. (2020). Challenges and opportunities of online learning amid the COVID-19 pandemic: A case study of university students in South Korea. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 1, 100018.
- Rapanta, C., Botturi, L., Goodyear, P., Guardia, L., & Koole, M. (2020). Online university teaching during and after the COVID-19 crisis: Refocusing teacher presence and learning activity. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), 923-945.
- Saldaña, J. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Stallman, H. M. (2010). Psychological distress in university students: A comparison with general population data. *Australian Psychologist*, 45(4), 249-257.
- Tarafdar, M., Pullins, E. B., & Ragu-Nathan, T. S. (2015). Technostress: Negative effect on performance and possible mitigations. *Information Systems Journal*, 25(5), 103-132.
- Trust, T., & Whalen, J. (2020). Should teachers be trained in emergency remote teaching? Lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 28(2), 189-199.
- UNESCO. (2020). *Education: From disruption to recovery*. UNESCO.
- Van Deursen, A. J., & Van Dijk, J. A. (2019). The first-level digital divide shifts from inequalities in physical access to inequalities in material access. *New Media & Society*, 21(2), 354-375.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wang, C., Pan, R., Wan, X., Tan, Y., Xu, L., Ho, C. S., & Ho, R. C. (2020). Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(5), 1729.
- Wong, K., & Chong, J. (2021). Student perceptions of remote learning during COVID-19 pandemic in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 1-19.
- Zhu, X., & Liu, J. (2020). Education in and after COVID-19: Immediate responses and long-term visions. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), 695-699.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41(2), 64-70. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102>.