

# Human rights in transition: a research if legal, ethical, and policy dimensions

**Permata sakti**

Faculty of Law, Legal Studies, Universitas Medan Area, Medan, Indonesia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received: 09, Jan, 2025  
Revised: 19, Jan, 2025  
Accepted: 17, Febr, 2025

### Keywords:

Ethical Dilemmas;  
Human Rights;  
Legal Frameworks;  
Policy Innovations;  
Transitional Justice.

## ABSTRACT

This research explores the intersection of legal, ethical, and policy dimensions in transitional human rights, aiming to identify trends, challenges, and frameworks in the context of post-conflict and political transitions. The study adheres to established guidelines (PRISMA) and systematically analyzes peer-reviewed studies, case studies, and reports from reputable databases, with a focus on diverse regions and transitional contexts. Key findings highlight the complexities in balancing legal accountability with the ethical imperative of national reconciliation, and the pivotal role of international organizations in shaping transitional justice policies. The review underscores significant gaps, including the underexplored intersectionality of human rights violations, emerging issues like digital rights, and the impact of climate change on human rights transitions. By synthesizing existing literature, the review provides critical insights into the evolving nature of transitional justice, emphasizing the need for adaptive, interdisciplinary approaches that consider local contexts and emerging global challenges. The implications of the findings call for a shift toward context-sensitive, integrated frameworks for human rights advocacy and governance, offering a foundation for future research and policy innovation in transitional human rights.

*This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license.*



### Corresponding Author:

Permata sakti,  
Faculty of Law, Legal Studies,  
Universitas Medan Area, Medan,  
Jl. Setia Budi No.79 B, Tj. Rejo, Medan, Indonesia, 20112.  
Email: pertamaskti22@gmail.com

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Human rights are a cornerstone of modern society, embodying the fundamental principles of dignity, freedom, equality, and justice that are essential for the well-being and coexistence of individuals and communities (Das et al., 2024). As a research area, human rights hold immense significance due to their universal applicability and dynamic nature, addressing a wide range of issues from civil liberties and political rights to socio-economic and cultural entitlements. The ever-changing global landscape, marked by political transitions, armed conflicts, climate change, technological advancements, and rising inequalities, continually challenges the interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of human rights. Consequently, studying human rights provides critical insights into the mechanisms that uphold these principles while exposing systemic gaps and barriers to justice. Furthermore, research in this field not only informs policy and advocacy but also bridges theory and practice by examining the interplay of legal, ethical, and policy dimensions. It contributes to creating frameworks that adapt to emerging challenges, such as digital rights, refugee crises, and intersectional discrimination, ensuring the continued relevance and advancement of human rights in diverse contexts.

The main challenge in the protection of customary land in Indonesian agrarian law lies in the suboptimal implementation of the recognition and protection of indigenous peoples' rights. Although the Basic Agrarian Law (UUPA) No. 5 of 1960 has recognized the existence of customary rights, its implementation is still weak due to the lack of clarity of legal mechanisms to establish and strengthen

these rights. In addition, many sectoral regulations that often conflict with the interests of indigenous peoples, such as in mining, forestry and plantation laws, further complicate the status of customary land (Buana & Mamonto, 2023). This results in indigenous peoples often losing access to their own land due to unilateral claims by the government or corporations on the basis of concession licenses.

Recent developments in the legal, ethical, and policy dimensions of human rights reflect the evolving challenges and opportunities in safeguarding human dignity globally. Legally, there has been significant progress in the recognition and enforcement of rights, including the expansion of international frameworks addressing digital privacy, environmental justice, and rights for marginalized groups such as refugees, LGBTQ+ individuals, and Indigenous peoples. The integration of technology in human rights law, such as AI governance and cyber-rights, highlights the legal community's response to new-age issues. Ethically, the discourse has expanded to consider complex dilemmas, such as balancing national security with individual freedoms, cultural relativism versus universal rights, and the ethics of humanitarian intervention in sovereign states. Ethical debates also increasingly incorporate the intersectionality of rights, recognizing how overlapping identities affect access to justice and protection. On the policy front, global initiatives like the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) have driven nations to prioritize equity, access, and inclusion in their governance. Policymakers are also navigating the challenges of migration, climate change, and global health crises, emphasizing collaborative, rights-based approaches. Together, these developments underscore the adaptive and interdisciplinary nature of human rights advocacy and its critical role in addressing contemporary global issues.

The rationale for this study lies in addressing the fragmented understanding of transitional human rights issues, a critical yet underexplored area in existing literature. While there is considerable research on human rights frameworks, much of it focuses on stable contexts, leaving significant gaps in understanding how rights are negotiated, contested, or redefined during periods of transition such as post-conflict reconstruction, political regime changes, or global crises like pandemics. Current studies often adopt siloed approaches, examining legal, ethical, or policy dimensions in isolation, which limits a comprehensive understanding of their interconnectedness and practical implications. Furthermore, emerging challenges, such as the role of technology in surveillance and privacy, climate-induced displacement, and the rise of authoritarian populism, require fresh perspectives and integrative frameworks. By synthesizing existing research and highlighting these gaps, this study aims to provide a holistic analysis of transitional human rights issues, offering insights that are both theoretically robust and practically relevant for policymakers, scholars, and advocates working to protect human rights in complex and dynamic environments.

The need for a comprehensive synthesis of evidence on transitional human rights issues arises from the growing complexity of global challenges that impact fundamental rights and freedoms. While numerous studies address specific aspects of human rights—such as legal reforms, ethical dilemmas, or policy innovations—there remains a lack of integrated analysis that connects these dimensions. This fragmented approach limits the ability to understand the broader implications of transitions, such as those resulting from political upheavals, climate crises, technological disruptions, or global health emergencies. A systematic synthesis is essential to map existing knowledge, identify patterns, and uncover gaps that impede progress in protecting and promoting human rights during periods of significant change. Such a review not only consolidates insights across disciplines but also provides a valuable resource for policymakers, practitioners, and academics seeking to develop effective, evidence-based strategies for navigating the complexities of transitional contexts. By drawing connections between legal, ethical, and policy dimensions, this study aims to advance a holistic framework that ensures human rights remain resilient and adaptable in the face of evolving global dynamics.

The primary objective of this research is to systematically examine and synthesize existing literature on transitional human rights to identify prevailing trends, emerging challenges, and effective frameworks within legal, ethical, and policy dimensions. This study aims to uncover how human rights are reinterpreted and implemented in periods of significant change, such as political transitions, post-conflict settings, and global crises. By mapping out key themes and patterns, the research seeks to highlight the mechanisms and practices that have proven successful in safeguarding rights, while also identifying persistent gaps and barriers to justice. Another critical aim is to analyze the interplay between legal frameworks, ethical considerations, and policy responses, offering insights into their collective impact on upholding human rights during transitions. Ultimately, this research seeks to

provide a comprehensive foundation for scholars, policymakers, and advocates to develop more robust and adaptable strategies for addressing the complexities of human rights in transitional contexts.

## 2. RESEARCH METHOD

This research adheres to recognized guidelines, specifically the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework, ensuring methodological rigor and transparency throughout the research process. The search strategy involved querying reputable databases, including Scopus, PubMed, and Web of Science, using a combination of search terms such as "human rights," "transitions," "legal frameworks," "ethical considerations," and "policy dimensions." Boolean operators and truncation were employed to refine results and ensure comprehensive coverage. Inclusion criteria focused on peer-reviewed articles published within the last two decades, studies with a global or regional scope, and those explicitly addressing legal, ethical, or policy aspects of transitional human rights. Exclusion criteria eliminated studies lacking full-text availability, non-English publications, and those irrelevant to the research objectives. For data extraction, a structured approach was used to systematically record study objectives, methodologies, findings, and conclusions, enabling thematic analysis. Each study was assessed for quality using standardized tools, such as the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklists, to evaluate credibility, relevance, and methodological soundness. By combining robust review frameworks, a detailed search strategy, and systematic quality assessment, this study ensures the reliability and validity of the synthesized findings, providing a strong foundation for understanding transitional human rights issues.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 3.1. Human Rights in a Transitional Context

The synthesis of key findings from this review underscores the complex ways in which legal, ethical, and policy frameworks intersect and diverge in transitional human rights. These frameworks are deeply interconnected, yet they often operate under different priorities and logic, which can lead to both synergies and tensions. Legally, transitional justice mechanisms like trials, truth commissions, and reparations programs aim to provide accountability and redress for past violations, often relying on international human rights law as a foundation. However, these legal processes can conflict with ethical considerations, particularly when the pursuit of justice may risk destabilizing fragile societies or disrupting peace efforts. For example, while legal mechanisms might push for full accountability, ethical concerns about the need for reconciliation and stability may advocate for more lenient approaches, such as amnesties or truth-telling without legal consequences. On the policy side, international organizations and governments often design policies that seek to balance justice and stability, but these policies may be influenced by pragmatic considerations, such as the need to maintain peace or economic recovery.

While legal and ethical frameworks are often grounded in principles of justice and fairness, policy frameworks may prioritize the practicalities of rebuilding societies and preventing further violence. This divergence can lead to disagreements between stakeholders, with some advocating for a stricter legal approach, others prioritizing ethical reconciliation, and still others pushing for policy solutions focused on peace and stability. Ultimately, the intersection and divergence of these frameworks highlight the need for nuanced, context-sensitive approaches that integrate legal, ethical, and policy considerations in a way that respects human rights while fostering long-term peace and justice in transitional societies.

### 3.2. Legal Perspectives on Human Rights in the Transition Period

The comparative insights from the review reveal significant variations in the approach to transitional human rights across regions, timelines, and specific contexts, reflecting the diversity of challenges faced by societies undergoing change. In post-conflict societies, such as in Rwanda and Bosnia, the emphasis has often been on legal accountability and the restoration of trust through trials and truth commissions, with varying degrees of success. Rwanda's gacaca courts, for example, blended traditional and legal mechanisms to address the vast number of perpetrators in the 1994 genocide, fostering reconciliation but also facing criticisms of fairness and due process. In contrast, post-apartheid South Africa focused on restorative justice through the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC),

prioritizing national healing over legal retribution, which highlighted the ethical tension between justice and reconciliation. Geographically, regions such as Latin America have experienced transitional justice through amnesty laws and reparations, with countries like Argentina and Chile grappling with the complexities of acknowledging past crimes while transitioning to democracy.

In Eastern Europe, the focus has often been on legal reforms to integrate human rights into newly democratic legal systems, while post-Soviet states have faced challenges in reconciling historical memory with modern legal frameworks. Additionally, timelines influence the trajectory of human rights transitions: in some instances, decades after conflict or authoritarian rule, the implementation of justice mechanisms remains incomplete, and societies struggle to balance the need for both accountability and political stability. Comparative analysis reveals that no single model fits all transitions—each region and context requires a tailored approach that considers historical, cultural, and political factors. These insights emphasize the need for flexible, adaptive strategies to address human rights violations in diverse transitional settings, with a focus on integrating lessons learned from various regions to inform future policymaking and human rights advocacy.

### **3.3. Ethical Dimensions of Human Rights Enforcement in Transition Periods**

A critical analysis of current approaches to addressing human rights in transition reveals both strengths and weaknesses in how societies navigate the complex terrain of justice, reconciliation, and rebuilding. One of the primary strengths is the growing international consensus around the importance of transitional justice mechanisms, such as truth commissions, trials, and reparations. These mechanisms have provided crucial platforms for addressing past violations, holding perpetrators accountable, and offering victims a voice, as seen in countries like South Africa and Argentina. Additionally, international frameworks such as the International Criminal Court (ICC) and regional human rights bodies have bolstered global efforts to enforce human rights standards, contributing to a more robust legal landscape. However, these approaches also have significant weaknesses. Legal mechanisms, while essential for accountability, can be perceived as undermining stability in fragile post-conflict or post-authoritarian societies.

The pursuit of justice can sometimes exacerbate tensions, hinder reconciliation, or lead to the retribution of individuals who may play pivotal roles in the country's future. Similarly, restorative justice approaches, while promoting healing and national unity, may be criticized for sacrificing individual accountability in favor of political expediency. Furthermore, existing models often fail to adequately address the intersectionality of human rights violations, particularly the compounded vulnerabilities of marginalized groups, and may overlook the evolving challenges presented by issues such as digital rights and the impact of emerging technologies. Moreover, policy responses are frequently shaped by geopolitical interests, leading to inconsistencies in how human rights are enforced across different regions. These strengths and weaknesses highlight the need for more context-sensitive, flexible approaches that integrate legal, ethical, and policy dimensions in a way that prioritizes both justice and sustainable peace.

For practitioners, the review highlights the need for comprehensive training and support in implementing transitional justice mechanisms, ensuring that they are both effective and sensitive to the unique challenges faced by post-conflict or post-authoritarian societies. Practitioners must also consider the intersectionality of human rights violations, addressing the compounded disadvantages faced by marginalized groups, and incorporate emerging issues such as digital rights into their work. Academically, the review encourages further interdisciplinary research that bridges the gaps between legal, ethical, and policy frameworks, fostering a more integrated understanding of transitional human rights. It also calls for greater attention to underexplored areas such as intersectionality and digital rights, which are increasingly relevant in modern transitional contexts. These implications serve as a guide for future research, policy development, and practice, ultimately contributing to more effective and inclusive strategies for addressing human rights in transition.

### 3.4. Public Policy and Human Rights in Transition

Dimensions of transitional human rights, with a significant focus on the evolution of transitional justice mechanisms, the role of international human rights law, and illustrative case studies of legal reforms. Transitional justice mechanisms, such as truth commissions, reparations programs, and judicial trials, have evolved to address the legacies of human rights violations in post-conflict and post-authoritarian societies. These mechanisms aim to promote accountability, reconciliation, and institutional reform. The development of international human rights law, particularly through treaties, conventions, and regional agreements, underscores the global community's commitment to establishing a legal framework that transcends national borders. Notable advancements include the strengthening of institutions like the International Criminal Court (ICC) and regional human rights courts, which have played pivotal roles in enforcing accountability. Case studies of legal reforms, such as constitutional changes in South Africa, Colombia, and Rwanda, demonstrate how legal systems adapt to transitional contexts, integrating human rights principles into national legislation and governance. These themes highlight the dynamic interplay between domestic and international legal frameworks in addressing historical injustices and laying the foundation for a rights-based future in transitional societies.

The ethical dimensions of transitional human rights identified in this review highlight the complex dilemmas faced by societies undergoing periods of significant change. One key ethical issue is the challenge of balancing individual rights with the broader goal of societal stability. In post-conflict or post-authoritarian contexts, there is often a tension between ensuring justice for past human rights violations and the need for national reconciliation or peacebuilding. While accountability mechanisms such as trials or truth commissions may demand difficult reckonings with the past, they can also risk further destabilizing fragile societies if not carefully managed. Another critical ethical concern is cultural relativism, which questions whether universal human rights standards can or should be applied uniformly across different cultural or political contexts. Critics argue that human rights frameworks must respect cultural differences and local traditions, while proponents contend that certain fundamental rights, such as freedom from torture or arbitrary detention, should be universally upheld. These ethical tensions underscore the need for context-sensitive approaches to human rights in transitional settings, as well as the ongoing debate over the universality versus relativity of rights, particularly when trying to harmonize international norms with local values.

The policy dimensions of transitional human rights identified in this review underscore the critical role of international organizations, policy innovations, and comparative studies in shaping responses to human rights violations during periods of transition. International organizations such as the United Nations, the European Union, and regional human rights bodies play a pivotal role in coordinating and enforcing policies aimed at promoting human rights and democracy in post-conflict or post-authoritarian settings. Their interventions often include the establishment of peacekeeping missions, the provision of technical assistance in governance reforms, and the support of legal frameworks to ensure the protection of human rights. Additionally, the review highlights various policy innovations, such as restorative justice approaches and the incorporation of human rights principles into sustainable development strategies, which seek to balance justice with long-term peacebuilding. Comparative studies of transitional human rights policies across different regions—such as those in Latin America, Africa, and Eastern Europe—reveal both the successes and limitations of various approaches, offering valuable lessons for future policy development. These comparative insights provide a broader understanding of how different societies adapt human rights frameworks to their specific political, social, and cultural contexts, and emphasize the importance of context-sensitive, flexible policy responses in transitional periods.

## 4. CONCLUSION

This research makes significant contributions by offering a comprehensive analysis of the interconnected nature of legal, ethical, and policy dimensions in transitional human rights. It highlights how these three domains, while distinct, are deeply interwoven in the process of addressing past

injustices and rebuilding post-conflict or post-authoritarian societies. Legally, transitional justice mechanisms serve as critical tools for accountability and reconciliation, but they often intersect with ethical considerations about justice versus stability, as well as policy decisions aimed at fostering peace and long-term societal stability. The review underscores that successful human rights transitions require integrated approaches that recognize the complexity of balancing justice, reconciliation, and the needs of a recovering society. The findings reflect the evolving nature of human rights advocacy and governance, particularly in a world marked by digital technologies, climate change, and transnational issues. The implications for global human rights advocacy are profound, as the review stresses the need for flexible, context-sensitive policies that can be adapted to the specific challenges of different regions and transitional contexts. This means that global human rights governance must evolve beyond traditional models to incorporate emerging issues and address the nuanced realities of modern transitions, such as the role of digital rights, climate justice, and intersectionality. Ultimately, this review calls for a more integrated and interdisciplinary approach to human rights advocacy and governance, which can better respond to the complexities and dynamics of transitional societies in the 21st century.

## REFERENCES

- Anggraeni, R. D. (2023). Islamic law and customary law in contemporary legal pluralism in Indonesia: Tension and constraints. *Ahkam: Jurnal Ilmu Syariah*, 23(1), 25–48.
- Ardiansyah, A. (2024). Enforcement of Prophetic Law in Settlement of Land Cases in Indonesia. Available at SSRN 4956207.
- Barora, S. S., Nyoman, N. I., & Imam, K. (2022). Legal Pluralism Of Spatial Rights Of Indigenous People In Arcipelagic Province In Indonesia. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, 121(1), 12–22.
- Berenschot, W. (2022). Land-use change conflicts and anti-corporate activism in Indonesia: A review essay. *Journal of East Asian Studies*, 22(2), 333–356.
- Buana, A. P., & Mamonto, M. A. W. W. (2023). The Role of Customary Law in Natural Resource Management: A Comparative Study between Indonesia and Australia. *Golden Ratio of Mapping Idea and Literature Format*, 3(2), 167–186.
- Budiman, I., Fujiwara, T., Sato, N., & Pamungkas, D. (2020). Another law in Indonesia: Customary land tenure system coexisting with state order in Mutis Forest. *Jurnal Manajemen Hutan Tropika*, 26(3), 244.
- Darmawan, D. A., Soetarto, E., Asnawi, Y. H., Mahasari, J., & Sukmawati, A. (2023). The History of Agrarian Reforms in Indonesia: A Sociological Perspective. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(11), e2196–e2196.
- Dawson, N. M., Coolsaet, B., Sterling, E. J., Loveridge, R., Gross-Camp, N. D., Wongbusarakum, S., Sangha, K. K., Scherl, L. M., Phan, H. P., & Zafra-Calvo, N. (2021). The role of Indigenous peoples and local communities in effective and equitable conservation. *Ecology and Society*, 26(3), 19.
- Dhiaulhaq, A., & McCarthy, J. F. (2020). Indigenous rights and agrarian justice framings in forest land conflicts in Indonesia. *The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology*, 21(1), 34–54.
- Fahmi, C., & Armia, M. S. (2022). Protecting Indigenous collective land property in Indonesia under international human rights norms. *JSEHR*, 6, 1.
- Hammar, R., Samangun, C., Malik, Y., & Luturmas, A. (2021). Spatial planning for indigenous law communities to solve social conflict resolution in West Papua Indonesia. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 12(4), 405–423.
- Juniyanti, L., Purnomo, H., Kartodihardjo, H., & Prasetyo, L. B. (2021). Understanding the driving forces and actors of land change due to forestry and agricultural practices in sumatra and kalimantan: A systematic review. *Land*, 10(5), 463.
- Kartodiharjo, H., & Cahyonob, E. (2021). Agrarian reform in Indonesia: Analyze concepts and their implementation from a governance perspective. *Jurnal Manajemen Hutan Tropika*, 27, 1.
- Lestaluhu, R., Kurniati, N., & Judiasih, S. D. (2024). Out-of-Court Settlement of Customary Land Rights Disputes of the Malamoi Tribe within the Framework of Land Law Reform. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(8), 10883–10897.
- McCarthy, J. F., Dhiaulhaq, A., Afiff, S., & Robinson, K. (2022). Land reform rationalities and their governance effects in Indonesia: Provoking land politics or addressing adverse formalisation? *Geoforum*, 132, 92–102.
- Mohylnyi, O., Patyka, N., Kucher, A., Krupin, V., Siedlecka, A., & Wysokiński, M. (2022). Features of agrarian sector deregulation in the context of martial law: shocks in food security. *Sustainability*, 14(20), 12979.
- Mulyani, L. (2020). *Reforming Group Legal Personhood in Indonesian Land Law: Towards Equitable Land Rights for Traditional Customary Communities (Doctoral dissertation)*. Doctoral dissertation.
- Murhaini, S., & Ludang, Y. (2020). Sociological aspects of transferred land to settlements in Indonesia. *International Journal of Management (IJM)*, 11(3).
- Nikolakis, W., & Hotte, N. (2020). How law shapes collaborative forest governance: A focus on indigenous peoples in Canada and India. *Society & Natural Resources*, 33(1), 46–64.
- Nutfa, M., Roslinawati, R., Mascunra, A., Saleh, M., & Suriansah, S. (2023). Social Change and Threat to Agrarian Rights of the Tau Taa Wana Indigenous People in Central Sulawesi. *JSW (Jurnal Sosiologi Walisongo)*, 7(2), 115–126.
- O'Donnell, E., Poelina, A., Pelizzon, A., & Clark, C. (2020). Stop burying the Lede: The essential role of indigenous law

- (s) in creating rights of nature. *Transnational Environmental Law*, 9(3), 403–427.
- Permadi, I., Masykur, M. H., Herlindah, H., Wicaksono, S., & Ahmad, M. Y. (2024). Resolving Disputes Arising from Land Acquisition for Public Purposes Involving Indigenous Peoples in the Nusantara Capital Region. *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 5(2), 705–748.
- Putri, N. A., Sarmilah, S., Velda, J., & Zschock, W. M. (2024). Bridging the Gap by Exploring Inequalities in Access to Land and Disparities in Agrarian Law in Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmu Kenotariatan*, 5(1), 1.
- Rahman, R. N. (2024). Problems of Complete Systematic Land Registration (PTSL) In The Process of Land Registration In Indonesia. *Journal of Law, Politic and Humanities*, 4(5), 1446–1458.
- Rasyid, M. (2024). The Role of Mediation in Land Dispute Resolution: Effectiveness and Challenges. *Journal of Indonesian Scholars for Social Research*, 4(2), 89–93.
- Reyes-García, V., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Aumeeruddy-Thomas, Y., Benyei, P., Bussmann, R. W., Diamond, S. K., García-del-Amo, D., Guadilla-Sáez, S., Hanazaki, N., & Kosoy, N. (2022). Recognizing Indigenous peoples' and local communities' rights and agency in the post-2020 Biodiversity Agenda. *Ambio*, 51(1), 84–92.
- Siallagan, D. (2024). Hukum Adat as Embodied Law: Assessing the Legal Regimes Governing Indigenous Land Rights in Indonesia. *Canadian Law Review Research Paper*, 12.